UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/572,806	10/05/2006	Ludwig Schwoerer	4208-4310	9554	
	85775 7590 10/29/2009 Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP			EXAMINER	
Attn: IP Docket	ting		CORRIELUS, JEAN B		
Three World Financial Center New York, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/29/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptopatentcommunication@lockelord.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/572,806	SCHWOERER ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean B. Corrielus	2611
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jean B. Corrielus</u> .	(3)	
(2) Elliot L. Frank.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 October 2009	Time: <u>11/25 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 17, and 18		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet  Part III.	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Jean B Corrielus/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/572,806

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant comment (See attachment) with respect to claim 1 is not convincing because elements 307 and 909 merely determine the correlation maximum (note the determining step/ means for determing recited in the claims) while elements 308/910 determines channel estimate. The comment made with respect to claim 6 is convincing. With respect to claim 17, the examiner is unable to find support in the drawing figures based on reference numbers 903 and 909 and 910 and 1003 and conjunction with corresponding descriptions in the specification, for a means capable of doing both "correlating" and "determining", as recited in the claim. In addition, components 909 and 910 are used for determining correlation maximum and for determining channel estimate.